

Do you agree there will be no carry forward of funds into the next financial year?	If you have ticked No -do you have an alternative suggestion?	Do you agree any underspend on grants within the financial year be allocated to Teignbridge10 Strong Communities?	If you have ticked no - do you have an alternative suggestion?	Do you agree there should be a minimum grant of £200, with the option of multiples of £100 in addition?	If you have ticked no - do you have an alternative suggestion?	Do you agree not to fund the same projects as were put forward in a previous year?	If you have ticked no - do you have an alternative suggestion?	Do you have any additional comments?
Yes		Yes		No		Yes		
No		No		No		Yes		Give each councillor a book of 2 x £50 vouchers each year that they can issue themselves to authorised recipients with each councillor required to justify how they have been used each year. All TDC would need to do is validate the voucher recipient and send payment
Yes		Yes		No	Sorry for any inconvenience to officers, but this should be discretionary for members to decide.	Yes		I always believe in working together and compromise , therefore I would like us to use our common sense when allocating our funds and work together wherever possible with Officers at TDC.
No	Happy with existing arrangements.	No	Happy with existing arrangements.	No	Happy with existing arrangements.	Yes		Agree with suggestion in Q4 not to fund the same project provided this does not limit different projects for the same organisation.
Yes		Yes		No	Some groups require less.	No		Some groups may need extra help, year 2. I would say no Year 3.
Yes	This year only as the election may mean change of councillor... Then carry forward for next	No	Any underspend should be carried forward in the same way	No	Leave as is	No		the councillor should decide on worthiness
Yes		No	Not sure what this means- I am happy for any underspend not to be carried forward to the next financ	No	We should be able to allocate as we see fit - £40 would hire the village hall for a community IT tra	No	So far this is not applicable to me, but it might be	I think Officers wish to over complicate a simple system. I think we should generally spend our allocation in year but can imagine reasons why one might wish to carry money over. I wish to be able to grant the most deserving at the time - this might be a school or church (I granted money towards the repair of our mediaeval church clock), it might be £1,500 or it might be £30 We used to publish a spreadsheet on the website with details of our grants, updated monthly. It would help if we were copied into the email confirming the award.
No	There should be no carry forward at the end of a council term ie this year then again in 2023. Many	No	No because I do not agree that funds are not carried forward.	No	I see no point in this - it is for the member to decide how much they want to provide - its the memb	Yes	But with an exceptions policy - a member may not have enough left in one year so needs to add	I don't know where the suggestion to alter this fund has come from - it's the members fund - needs rules about no bids to all councillors could also do with guidance about revenue funding with a preference for capital funding. There has to be something that members can decide for themselves with guidance - this is just more big brother TDC control
No	Allow it to be carried forward as some projects may require a large amount to complete the project.	No	For the same reason above and understand the Teignbridge 10 Strong Communities is only short term.	No	Keep it to a minimum of £50 as many do not require £200 when others do.	No	Some require funding each year in order to keep going especially youth services.	As agreed by the majority at the meeting, keep it as it is. As the saying goes....."if it's not broken don't try and fix it"
Yes		Yes		No	I think that any satisfactory request should be supported whatever the size.	No		I think the project is ongoing and worthwhile consideration should be given to further fundi
No	For this year yes as election year but see no problem other years	No	Larger applications could come forward sometimes this takes longer to set up and money is needed for	No	I think £200 should be the start but then multiples of £50	Yes		
No	Carry forward for one year only .	Yes	As indicated	Yes		Yes		It seems appropriate that grants should be made to Organisations in the Town /ward/s of the applying Councillor. I have been approached by others from other parts of the District.
Yes		Yes		Yes		No	leave as is	We should be able to direct the claimant to a web site and that is all that is required and email request to Cllrs to justify or refuse the claim automatically generated from the application. The procurement of a form digitally to claimants is so difficult and then with our signature using 365.
No	A Cllr may wish to save up an amount for a larger don't the following year as necessary	No		No		No	I would go along with year immediately preceding but 2 years or more should be OK	Leave scheme pretty much as it is
Yes		Yes		No	Leave as it is amounts cannot be predetermined	No	In limited parishes with limited expenditure outlets this is impossible	
No	I would allow a carry forward from Yr 1 to 2 and Yr 3 to 4. No carry forward in election year.	No	The carry forwards should be reported to council and a decision made in light of any cost pressures	No	Leave the scheme as it is - or if there is a minimum it should be £50	No	Each judged on merit - so long as the grant is not for ongoing revenue support like pay/utilities	Yes - I'd have liked more characters so I could give better responses to the answers. The Community Fund is well received by the local organisations and helps many which are very small and do not have fundraising capacity. I don't believe the scheme is broken or that it needs fixing.
Yes		Yes		No	The amount should be decided by the Member	No	It depends on the circumstances	Only that the fund should be increased./It has remained at £1,500 since the start.
								Just to say I am for leaving alone what I believe is working reasonably well. Should you want to improve the system of communication by officers to members, well and good.
Yes		Yes		No	Flexibility for councillors serves the community best	No	Massive risk that organisations that have great community value could fail and be lost	Councillors are well placed to make decisions about meeting needs in the community they represent and need maximum freedom to do this. Many organisations serving great need are living hand to mouth due to the endless austerity programme and severe restrictions on how money can be awarded. I vote least restrictive policy on fund allocation.
No	I do not see what the problem is just carry it forward to next financial year	No	It should my decision I is my fund	No	It is very rare Communities ask for £50 or less It should be my decision what to award it is my Fund	No	It depends I would fund it if they were short of money to finish project most are new projects	I can understand you looking to refresh Fund I think it works well If it not broke it dose not need fixing Kind regards Cllr Ron Peart.
No	no need	No	allow rollover	No	free form amounts	No	at councillors discretion	the scheme works well as it, with extra visibility of the spreadsheet and email confirmation it would be fine.
Yes		No		No		No		Not sure what projects would be funded by allocating funding to Teignbridge Strong Communities. Initial proposal had been for money to go to Crowdfunding initiatives ,which I might be happy with. They would need to be projects that benefited Newton Abbot. Exception could be where a Cllr is saving money for a bigger project, but they would need to identify project. I would agree to a £100 min grant, but don't see need for multiples after that, since that has no relevance to admin time. Don't see need to restrict who receives funding, since it is surprisingly difficult to spend funds anyway.
No	Where this has been agreed in advance by the councillor(s) and the relevant officer	No	Agree with the question where the answer to Q1 does not apply	No	Grants less than £200 should be discouraged, but may be permitted where it is considered appropriate	Yes		No
Yes		Yes		No	There are times that a specific amount under £200 is required for a project.	No		